Comments from Roads

From: Divertie, Campbell Sent: 12 September 2013 10:47

To: McCallum, Fiona Cc: Moore, David

Subject: Local Review Body Reference No 13/0013/LRB - 79 East Clyde

Street, Hel ensburgh

Good Morning Fiona,

I refer to your letter dated 30th August 2013 requesting further information relative to the above.

Referring to my original observations on the planning application Ref No 13/00731/PP submitted to my planning colleagues expressing concern with regards to the lack of parking. I wish to add the following.

The Council's Local Plan Policy LP TRAN 6 considers parking standards. The location of this application is

close to the town centre but outwith the designated town centre therefore the zero parking provision in

town centres and core shopping areas does not apply.

The car parking assessment which requires the use of the Gross Floor Area to determine the actual

number of $\,$ parking spaces to be provided within the development site. The ground floor gross floor area

measures 46 square metres or thereby and the upper floor(storage area) assume a $\sin i \ln a$ area

however, in my assessment to be fair and reasonable ${\bf I}$ only considered the gross floor area of the

ground floor as I was uncertain if the first floor storage would be used as part of the business. If I had

used the full area it would have double the parking provision required.

The parking provision in accordance with the current policy would be as follows.

Existing Class 1 usage - 1 space per 30 Sq m Parking spaces required 46 Sq m divide by 30

Sq m = 1.53 policy advice to round up therefore 2 parking spaces required.

Proposed Class 1 & 3 - 2 spaces per 22 Sq m spaces required 46 Sq m divide by 22 Sq m = 2.09 policy advice round up in this case I have determined 4 parking

spaces required

Currently the existing use has zero onsite parking therefore a deficiency of 2

spaces. The proposed application indicates zero onsite parking therefore a deficiency of 4 spaces.

In conclusion this application increases the deficiency on parking and does not align with continuous improvement or with the parking policy. If you add the upper storage area to the assessment you could take the view that the deficiency in parking would be double, lacking 8 spaces.

I trust this is of assistance.

Regards

Campbel I

Campbell Divertie Development & Infrastructure Services Helensburgh & Lomond Area

Comments from Roads

Office No 01436 658866